

Electromagnetic Permeability of the Vacuum and Light-Cone Structure*)

by

Arkadiusz Z. JADCZYK

Presented by J. RZEWUSKI on October 16, 1978

Summary. It is shown that to give a constitutive tensor for the vacuum is to give a conformal structure to space-time.

To formulate laws of electromagnetism one first has to specify a constitutive tensor $\chi_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}$. Once χ is specified, Maxwell's equation reads: $dF=0$, and $d*F=j$, where

$$(*F)_{\mu\nu} = \chi_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta}.$$

We shall assume that, in a vacuum, the $*$ -operator satisfies

- (i) $*w \wedge u = w \wedge *u$, (u, w — two-forms)
- (ii) $*^2 = -I$.

Under these assumptions we shall prove that to give such a “ $*$ ” is the same as to give a light-cone structure.

DEFINITION. Let E be a 4-dimensional real vector space, and let $(w_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ be a system of linearly independent bivectors $w_i \in \Lambda^2(E)$, such type $w_i \wedge w_j = 0$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$). The system (w_i) is said to be of type I if and only if there exists $0 \neq e \in E$, such that $w \wedge w_i = 0$. Otherwise (w_i) is said to be of type II.

LEMMA 1. *A system (w_i) is of type I (resp. type II) if and only if there exists a basis $(e_\mu)_{\mu=0,1,2,3}$ in E such that*

- (i) $w_i = w_0 \wedge e_i$
- resp., (ii) $w_i = \sum_{j,k} \epsilon_{ijk} e_j \wedge e_k$, where $\epsilon = +1$ or -1 .

Proof. Clearly (i) implies that (w_i) is of type I. Conversely, if (w_i) is of type I, and $e_0 \wedge w_i = 0$, then $w_i = w_0 \wedge e_i$, and $(e_\mu)_{\mu=0,1,2,3}$ is a basis in E . Suppose now that

*) Supported by the Humboldt Foundation.

Author's address: Institute of Theoretical Physics, Wrocław University, Cybulskiego 36, 50-205 Wrocław

(ii) is satisfied. If $e \wedge w_i = 0$, then $e = 0$, and so (w_i) is of type II. Conversely, let (w_i) be of type II. Since $w_1 \wedge w_2 = 0$, there exist linearly independent $f_i \in E$, such that $w_1 = f_2 \wedge f_3$, and $w_2 = f_3 \wedge f_1$. Let f_0 be any completion of (f_i) to a basis in E . Since $w_3 \wedge w_1 = 0$, so w_3 is of the form $w_3 = (af_2 + bf_3) \wedge x^u f_u$. The coefficient a can not vanish, otherwise $f_3 \wedge w_i = 0$, contrary to the assumption. But $0 = w_2 \wedge w_3 = ax^0 f_3 \wedge \wedge f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_0$, and so $x^0 = 0$. It follows that w_3 is of the form $w_3 = af_1 \wedge f_2 + bf_1 \wedge f_3 + cf_2 \wedge f_3$, $a \neq 0$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 &= f_0, & e_1 &= |a|^{-1/2} (af_1 - cf_3) \\ e_2 &= |a|^{-1/2} (af_2 + bf_3), & e_3 &= |a|^{-1/2} f_3, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$w_i = \frac{\epsilon}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} e_j \wedge e_k, \quad \text{where } \epsilon = \text{sgn}(a).$$

LEMMA 2. Let $(w_i, \tilde{w}_i)_{i=1,2,3}$ be a basis in $\Lambda^2(E)$ such that

- (i) $w_1 \wedge w_j = 0$,
- (ii) $\tilde{w}_i \wedge \tilde{w}_j = 0$,
- (iii) $w_i \wedge \tilde{w}_j = \delta_{ij} W$, for some $0 \neq W \in \Lambda^4(E)$.

Then exactly one of the systems (w_i) , (\tilde{w}_i) is of type II. If, say, (w_i) is of type II, then there exists a basis (e_μ) in E such that

- (a) $\epsilon w_i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} e_j \wedge e_k$,
- (aa) $\epsilon \tilde{w}_i = e_0 \wedge e_i$.

Proof. Suppose both (w_i) , and (\tilde{w}_i) are of type I. There are bases (e_μ) , and (f_ν) such that $w_i = e_0 \wedge e_i$, and $\tilde{w}_i = f_0 \wedge f_i$. Let $f_\mu = a_\mu^\nu e_\nu$. Then $\tilde{w}_i = a_0^0 a_i^j e_\mu \wedge e_\nu$, and so $\delta_{ij} W = w_i \wedge \tilde{w}_j = a_0^k a_i^j e_0 \wedge e_i \wedge e_k \wedge e_j$. By multiplying by a_0^i , we get $a_0^i = 0$, and so

$$\tilde{w}_i = a_0^0 a_i^\nu e_0 \wedge e_\nu = a_0^0 a_i^j e_0 \wedge e_j = a_0^0 a_i^j w_j,$$

contrary to the assumption of linear independence. We can therefore assume that (w_i) is of type II, and let (e_μ) be a basis for which (a) holds. Now, since $\tilde{w}_1 \wedge w_2 = 0$, \tilde{w}_1 is of the form $w_1 = (Ae_1 + Be_3) \wedge a^u e_u$. We have $A \neq 0$, otherwise $w_1 \wedge \tilde{w}_1 = 0$. We also have $a^0 \neq 0$, otherwise \tilde{w}_1 would be a linear combination of w_i . Now, $0 = \tilde{w}_1 \wedge w_3 = B a^0 e_3 \wedge e_0 \wedge e_1 \wedge e_2$, and so $B = 0$. It follows that \tilde{w}_1 is of the form $\tilde{w}_1 = a_1^u e_\mu \wedge e_1$. More generally, $w_i = a_i^u e_\mu \wedge e_i$. But now $\tilde{w}_i \wedge \tilde{w}_j = 0$ gives

$$a_i^u a_j^v e_\mu \wedge e_i \wedge e_\nu \wedge e_j = 0,$$

or

$$\epsilon_{\mu i \nu j} a_i^u a_j^v = 0.$$

It follows that any three different indices i, j, k : $a_i^0 a_j^k = a_i^k a_j^0$, or

$$a_j^k a_j^0 = a_i^k a_i^0 = a^k.$$

We now have

$$a_i^0 (a^k e_k + e_0) \wedge e_i = a_i^0 \left(\frac{a_i^k}{a_i^0} + e_k + e_0 \right) \wedge e_i = \tilde{w}_i.$$

Replacing e_0 by $e_0 + a^k e_k$, we get $\tilde{w}_i = a_i^0 e_0 \wedge e_i$. Now, since $w_i \wedge \tilde{w}_i = w_j \wedge \tilde{w}_j$, we get $a_i^0 = a_j^0 \delta_{ij}$. Replacing e_0 by $\varepsilon a e_0$ we finally get (aa).

LEMMA 3. Let V be a $2N$ -dimensional real vector space with a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (u, v) . Let J be a symmetric linear operator in V with $J^2 = -I$. There exist N vectors w_1, \dots, w_N in V such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & (w_i, w_j) = 0 \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & (e_i = Jw_j) = \delta_{ij} \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} i, j = 1, \dots, n. \end{array} \right.$$

The system $\{w_i, Jw_i\}$ is a basis for V .

PROOF. Easy and standard.

THEOREM 1. Let E be a 4-dimensional real vector space, and let $*$: $w \mapsto *w$ be a linear operator in $A^2(E)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & *w \wedge u = w \wedge *u, \quad u, w \in A^2(E) \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & ** = -I. \end{aligned}$$

There exists a basis (e_μ) in E such that

$$(*) \quad *(e_\alpha \wedge e_\beta) = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\sigma\rho} \eta^{\sigma\mu} \eta^{\rho\nu} e_\mu \wedge e_\nu,$$

where $\eta = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$.

PROOF. Fix arbitrary $0 \neq W \in A^+(E)$, and define a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (u, v) in $A^2(E)$ by $u \wedge v = (u, v) W$. Now, with $J = *$, the assumptions of Lemma 3 are satisfied, and so there exist bivectors w_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$) such that $w_i \wedge w_j = *w_i \wedge *w_j = 0$, and $w_i \wedge *w_j = \delta_{ij} W$. With $\tilde{w}_i = *w_i$, the assumptions of Lemma 2 are satisfied. It follows that either (w_i) or $(*w_i)$ is of type II. If $(*w_i)$ is of type II, then there exists a basis (e_μ) such that

$$w_i = e_0 \wedge e_i, \quad *w_i = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{ijk} e_j \wedge e_k,$$

and so, Theorem 1 holds. If, on the other hand, (w_i) is of type II, then the basis $(-e_0, e_i)$ satisfies the desired relation.

DEFINITION. A basis (e_μ) in E satisfying relation (*) in Theorem 1 will be called a $*$ -basis.

THEOREM 2. Any two $*$ bases (e_μ) and (\tilde{e}_μ) are related by a transformation of the form

$$\tilde{e}_\mu = \lambda e_\nu L_\mu^\nu,$$

where $L_\mu^\nu L_\nu^\mu = \eta$, $\det(L) = +1$, and $\lambda > 0$. L and λ are uniquely determined by these conditions. Conversely, any such transformation transforms $*$ -bases into $*$ -bases.

Proof. Assume both e_μ , and \tilde{e}_μ satisfy (*), and let $\tilde{e}_\mu = e_\nu A_\mu^\nu$. This leads immediately to

$$\det(A) \varepsilon_{\kappa\lambda\mu\nu} = B_\mu^\alpha B_\lambda^\beta \varepsilon_{\alpha\nu\gamma\delta},$$

where $B = \eta^{-1} {}^1 A \eta A$. This implies $\det(A) > 0$, $B = \det(A)^{1/2} I$. It follows that ${}^1 A \eta A = \det(A)^{1/2} \eta$, or with $\lambda = \det(A)^{-1/4}$, $A = \lambda L$, ${}^1 L \eta L = \eta$, and $\det(L) = 1$. The rest of the theorem is obvious.

COROLLARY. *Given a *-operator as in Theorem 1, the set of all *-bases is a transitive homogeneous space for the proper conformal group $CO(1, 3)$.*

It follows from the above corollary that a constitutive tensor of the vacuum equips space-time with a cone at each of its points. Or, equivalently, determines a Riemannian metric up to a scaling. This result has no analogue in more than four dimensions.

This note is a solution of a problem raised in a discussion with Professors R. Haag, D. Kastler and J. M. Singer.

А. Яцкич. Электромагнитная проницаемость вакуума и структура световых конусов

Содержание. В работе доказано, что определение комформной структуры пространства-времени эквивалентно определению тензора электромагнитной проницаемости вакуума.